Journal of Proceedings

Missoula City Council

May 18, 2026, 6:00 pm
Council Chambers (in person) or TEAMS (virtually)
Attend in person: City Council Chambers, 140 W Pine, Missoula MT

Members Present: Stacie Anderson, Mirtha Becerra, Bob Campbell, Betsy Craske, Gwen Jones, Kristen Jordan, Sean McCoy, Eric Melson, Mike Nugent, Justin Ponton, Jennifer Savage, Amber Sherrill

Administration Present: Mayor Andrea Davis, Ryan Sudbury, City Attorney, Claire Trimble, City Clerk

1. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL

The meeting of the Missoula City Council was called to order by Mayor Andrea Davis at 6:00 PM.

2. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

2.1 Minutes from May 11, 2026

The minutes were approved as submitted.

3. ANNOUNCE CHANGES TO COMMITTEE MEETING AGENDAS AND COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP APPROVALS

3.1 Committee Schedule for May 20, 2026

4. SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS AND PROCLAMATIONS

4.1 Neighborhood Council Updates

4.1.1 Franklin to the Fort Leadership Team Report

- Jen Worrell ported that the Friends of the Fort Neighborhood held a well-attended annual meeting, are organizing a neighborhood cleanup on May 30th at Franklin Park with refreshments, and have two ice cream socials planned for the summer, with updates to be posted in their minutes and online.

5. PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA

- Shannon Parsons urged the council to adopt a binding organic land care policy for Missoula's parks and playing fields, citing the negative impact of pesticides on bird populations, insects, and community health, and emphasizing the need for pesticide-free management.
- Paul Smith, a pediatric pulmonologist, advocated for a pesticide-free policy in Missoula's parks and playing fields, emphasizing the proven links between pesticide exposure and childhood respiratory illnesses.
- Allison Rynches, representing Grow Safe Non-Toxic Missoula, urged the council to adopt an official organic land care policy for city parks, citing health and environmental dangers of pesticides.

- Suzette Dussault suggested that city-owned lands should be placed in a community land trust to address affordable housing needs, rather than being sold to developers, and proposed specific uses such as senior housing and childcare facilities.

- Bob Giordano supported Grow Safe's call for organic land care in city parks, emphasizing the risks of chemical exposure in public spaces and offering to help organize volunteers for healthier, pesticide-free parks.

6. PUBLIC HEARINGS

7. NEW BUSINESS

8. PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS ON THE AGENDA

9. CONSENT AGENDA

Public Comment

- Bob Giordano spoke in support of the green burial option at the Missoula Cemetery.

Council Comments

- Councilor Jordan is concerned about full ADA compliance in the dog park on item 9.2.

AYES: (12): Alderperson Anderson, Alderperson Becerra, Alderperson Campbell, Alderperson Craske, Alderperson Jones, Alderperson Jordan, Alderperson McCoy, Alderperson Melson, Alderperson Nugent, Alderperson Ponton, Alderperson Savage, and Alderperson Sherrill

Vote result: Approved (12 to 0)

9.1 Accounts Payable (claims) for checks dated May 19, 2026

Approve accounts payable (claims) for checks dated May 19, 2026 in the amount of $1,367,619.55.

Vote result: Approved

9.2 Land and Water Conservation Fund Agreement for a Park on North Scott Street

Approve and authorize the Mayor to sign a subrecipient agreement with Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks to accept a Land and Water Conservation Fund grant to help fund development of the Park on North Scott Street.

Vote result: Approved

9.3 Park on North Scott Street Reimbursement Resolution

Approve the resolution relating to the financing of the proposed Park on North Scott Street; establishing compliance with reimbursement bond regulations under the Internal Revenue Code.

Vote result: Approved

9.4 Fiscal Year 2026 Quarter 3 Budget Amendments

Set a public hearing for June 1, 2026, to consider the Resolution for the 3rd Quarter Fiscal Year 2026 amendments to the annual appropriations. [First Reading]

Vote result: Approved

9.5 Appointment to the Police Commission

Confirm the Mayor's appointment of Jackson Bunch to the Police Commission for a term beginning May 1, 2026 and expiring on April 30, 2029.

Vote result: Approved

9.6 Edward Byrne Justice Assistance Grant (JAG 2025) Memorandum of Understanding

Set a public hearing for June 1, 2026, for notification of JAG 2025 grant application and approval of the required MOU with Missoula County. [First Reading]

Vote result: Approved

9.7 An Ordinance Amending Missoula Municipal Code Chapter 12.44, Entitled "Cemeteries," to Add Language Prohibiting the Use of Unmanned Aerial Devices on Cemetery Property and Authorizing Vaultless Green Burials

Set a public hearing on June 1, 2026, to consider an ordinance amending the Missoula Municipal Code Chapter 12.44, entitled "Cemeteries," to add language prohibiting the use of unmanned aerial devices on cemetery property and authorizing vaultless green burials. [First Reading]

Vote result: Approved

9.8 Professional Service Agreement with WGM Group for the Clay Street Water Main Replacement Project

Approve and authorize the Mayor to sign the Professional Service Agreement with WGM Group at a cost not to exceed $46,850.

Vote result: Approved

9.9 Professional Services Agreement with WGM Group for the Jackson Place Water Main Replacement Project

Approve and authorize the Mayor to sign the Professional Services Agreement with WGM Group for the Jackson Place water main replacement project at a cost not to exceed $47,200.00

Vote result: Approved

9.10 Flynn Lowney Ditch Partial Sale

Approve the agreement with Mark and Darla Pollock to release and extinguish the City's 14' wide Flynn Ditch Easement, located on Tract 1 of Certificate of Survey 6692, and grant the Mayor the authority to execute all documents necessary to finalize the transaction.

Vote result: Approved

9.11 Bid Award for the Maloney Ranch STEP Decommissioning Project to Three Rivers Landworks, LLC

Approve and authorize the Mayor to approve the bid award to Three Rivers Landworks, LLC for the Maloney Ranch STEP Decommissioning Project at a cost not to exceed $354,938.31 and authorize the return of bid bonds

Vote result: Approved

9.12 Reserve Street Safety Implementation and Demonstration Project

Approve a resolution regarding application of the Reserve Street Safety Implementation and Demonstration Project and the entailed local match commitment up to $250,000 if the grant is awarded.

Vote result: Approved

10. REGULAR BUSINESS

10.1 Committee Reports

10.1.1 Budget and Finance (BF) committee report

10.1.1.1 Minutes from the May 13, 2026 Meeting

10.1.2 Climate, Conservation and Parks (CCP) committee report

10.1.2.1 Minutes from the May 13, 2026 Meeting

10.1.3 Committee of the Whole (COW) committee report

10.1.4 Housing, Redevelopment, and Community Programs (HRCP) committee report

10.1.5 Land Use and Planning (LUP) committee report

10.1.5.1 Minutes from the May 13, 2026 Meeting

10.1.5.2 Midtown Commons Request for Approval of Legal Document

Mayor Davis opened by explaining that the Midtown Commons project aims to revitalize long-vacant land by balancing neighborhood compatibility, market feasibility, and public benefits, including expanded park space, improved connectivity, and diverse housing options. She emphasized the project's alignment with longstanding city plans and policies, highlighting the mosaic approach to address various housing needs through shared infrastructure costs and permanent public amenities.

Annette Marchesseault provided an overview of the Midtown Commons project, detailing the site plan, housing types, and financial structure, including phased land transfers, repayment terms, and the developer's commitment to donate park and trail amenities to the city. She clarified the city's investment and revenue estimates, emphasizing the public process behind the park's master plan.

Dale Bickell, CAO, summarized new information for council, including written responses to prior questions, an engineer's

estimate for infrastructure costs, a proposed amendment requiring owner occupancy for for-sale units, and clarified that technical details like ADA compliance and permitting will be addressed during future development stages.

Marina Yoshioka, Parks & Recreation Director, explained that without city involvement, the Midtown Commons site would not have provided any public park space, and the current project addresses a documented park access gap in the neighborhood. She discussed the challenges and rationale behind removing aging cottonwoods from the property, noting their structural risks and the site's history as an engineered irrigation ditch rather than a natural riparian corridor. Marina acknowledged the community's attachment to the trees and emphasized the city's commitment to building a safe, accessible park designed for everyone.

Public Comment

- Sharon Davidson spoke about the importance of preserving the Grove and neighborhood green spaces, highlighting their ecological and community value.
- Adam Cook cautioned that owner occupancy requirements could unintentionally restrict rental options, but overall praised the Midtown Commons project for its positive impact on housing availability and reducing commuter traffic in Missoula.
- Barbara Pulley raised concerns about unresolved public questions regarding the Midtown Commons development, questioned liability for negotiations and environmental cleanup, and suggested that property tax increments should be reduced for district taxpayers if cost overruns occur.
- Cathy Scribner argued against removing the grove of mature trees at Midtown Commons, emphasizing their resilience, ecological value, and importance as a sanctuary for local residents.
- Sarah Giuliani expressed concerns that the Midtown Commons development would reduce green space and trees in a lower-income neighborhood, potentially violating environmental rights and calling for protection of the existing ecosystem through open space designation.
- Tim Winger supported the Midtown Commons Project, emphasizing that it replaces neglected, unsafe land with a planned, accessible public park and workplace housing, addressing community safety and housing needs while reflecting years of community input.
- Carrie Schreiber expressed concern that the Midtown Commons land use and financial arrangement is unusual, questioned the liability of changing the irrigation ditch, and emphasized the need for a park as indicated by city studies and initial comments.
- Melanie Brock, representing the Missoula Midtown Association, highlighted that the Midtown Commons project fulfills a long-

standing community goal for housing and a managed park in Midtown, made possible by the city's land purchase and extensive public collaboration.

- Nathan Stevens urged the city to preserve native trees and clean air in the Midtown Commons development, questioning why native plants and natural spaces can't be integrated into the park instead of more pavilions.
- Gwen Hoppe requested that the Midtown Commons proposal be sent back to committee for further research, emphasizing the importance of preserving the Grove and expanding the park, and suggesting that public input and legal requirements have not been fully addressed.
- Katie Thompson expressed concerns about the lack of genuine public input, questioned the fairness of park size and property valuation in the Midtown Commons development, and advocated for more ADA apartments and clarity on housing types.
- Tyson O'Connell spoke in favor of the city's mosaic approach to city-owned land and the Midtown Commons project, highlighting the importance of mixed housing, efficient land activation, and public-private partnerships to address Missoula's housing needs.
- Karen Giuliani criticized the mosaic approach and the mayor's land use task force for lacking transparency, public inclusion, and environmental expertise, urging council members to vote against the Midtown Commons project.
- Suzette Dussault advocated for placing the city land, especially the Midtown Commons parcel, into a land trust for affordable housing and preserving its natural area, opposing market-rate development and emphasizing the community's need for human-scale, accessible housing.
- Rosemarie Palacio expressed concern that Missoula's development prioritizes housing over climate change and biodiversity, criticized the lack of public input and transparency in the process, and advocated for preserving green space and native habitats for working-class residents.
- Jeff Ducklow urged valuing the Midtown Commons land as a living ecosystem and preserving it as open, wild space rather than developing it with buildings, emphasizing its importance for community access to nature.

Council Questions & Comments

- Councilor Jordan had a question about the investment ratio. Marchesseault responded that this is a consideration, not a rule, and the MRA believes this has a high public benefit. She also noted that the contingency, if not spent, will be returned to URD III.
- Councilor Jordan asked about the park design. Yoshioka noted that the park design was publicly driven, though constrained by the site location.

- Councilor Jordan asked about the ditch relocation. Bickell responded that the ditch company has not approved relocation, however, conversation has been started. Additionally, after approval additional permitting and conversations will continue, possibly with modifications.
- Councilor Melson asked about the concerns about the removal of trees and how their replacement will go forward. Yoshioka noted that selection of individual trees, currently 170 proposed, will be from an approved list of long-lived, site appropriate species.
- Councilor Jones asked about the acreage of the MRL Park. Yoshioka noted that the MRL park is about 4 acres and reinforces the importance of connectivity between parks on the Bitterroot Trail.
- Councilor McCoy asked about the volume of water going through the ditch to make sure we're not reducing the volume of the ditch. Marchesseault noted that whoever develops the property is obligated to keep the flow.
- Councilor Craske asked about the friendly amendment regarding owner occupancy. Councilor Nugent responded that the studies referenced are not perfect comparisons due to differences in markets in other states. He also noted that the City would not be able to make similar restrictions on all developments, but due to the unique idea circumstances where the City is an investor in the project.
- Councilor Craske asked if there might be room for adjustment if the townhomes do not sell at asking. Councilor Nugent responded that the City could opt to collect the fee or the developer could ask to renegotiate.
- Councilor Craske asked about the location of the park. Marchesseault responded that there are a lot of goals trying to be met, including: housing, connectivity, bike path connectivity, providing a park, etc.
- Councilor Anderson asked if the developer was purchasing the two properties for the same price that the city paid. Marchesseault said that is the plan, when the Mary parcel purchase is finalized.
- Councilor Anderson asked if the reimbursement on the park is only for actual costs. Marchesseault confirmed.
- Councilor Anderson asked who would have the liability if the ditch were relocated. Bickell responded the developer except for the portion connected to the finished park, which would be the City's after the park is transferred back to the City.
- Councilor Nugent asked if the property has ever been considered for future open space or parkland. Buchanan noted that because there were two awkwardly shaped properties, it was difficult to develop and the previous owners could not agree on a joint development plan.

- Councilor Nugent asked if it was true that if this parcel has been privately developed, the trail connections would not have been required. Yoshioka confirmed this.
- Councilor Nugent asked if it was correct that the ditch company could remove the trees at any time if they posed a threat to the water supply. Sudbury responded that yes, ditch companies do not need permits or permission to remove the trees at any time.
- Councilor Jordan asked why the City was purchasing the Mary parcel then passing it to the developer.

Amendment: No Tree Removal Prior to Easement Vacation

- Jordan Proposed an amendment to prevent tree removal prior to easement vacation, aiming to protect mature trees until a public process is completed.
- Councilor Nugent is concerned the amendment would block development on phase one, as easements are for streets/roads, not parks; asked about unintended consequences. Jordan clarified the intent is to delay tree removal for public input, not to permanently block development. Nugent stated he would not support the amendment due to potential complications. Campbell asked if amendments were available to view and requested them be shared. Jordan sent the amendment text and clarified the purpose.
- Councilor Sherrill asked staff to weigh in on unintended consequences and whether the amendment simply delays tree removal or requires saving trees. Sudbury explained the risk of permanent encumbrance and suggested a sunset clause; Jordan clarified the goal is to delay removal, not mandate saving specific trees.
- Councilor Anderson asked about the process for keeping trees and who decides which are kept or removed. Jordan clarified the amendment is a goodwill effort to delay removal, hoping some trees might be saved, but not mandating which ones.
- Councilor Nugent asked if amendment could be limited to phase two and sought developer input. Jordan agreed to consider limiting to phase two; developer representatives expressed concern about utility company schedules and potential project complications.
- Councilor Becerra asked if amendment is about timing or retaining trees, and suggested supporting only the timing aspect. Jordan agreed, sent revised language focusing on timing, and Becerra confirmed support for timing but not for mandating tree retention.

Amendment: Ditch Company Approval

Proposed requiring written approval from the ditch company for relocation before any construction in the affected area or TIF reimbursement.

- Sudbury clarified that phase one construction does not involve the ditch; suggested amendment should apply only to areas impacted by the ditch.
- Councilor Jordan agreed to remove "phase one" and apply amendment to all construction in the ditch-affected area.
- Oberweiser stated ditch approval is already required for any work affecting the ditch; amendment would be redundant and could delay unrelated construction.
- Councilor Nugent noted amendment would block construction of townhomes in phase one, which are not impacted by the ditch, and called the amendment irresponsible.
- Councilor Anderson asked if amendment would delay phase two construction not touching the ditch; developer confirmed it would unnecessarily restrict project timeline.
- Councilor Jordan explained intent is to avoid approving a master plan contingent on an unresolved ditch relocation, emphasizing the need for certainty before proceeding.

Amendment: Reconsider "Option A" as a master plan

Jordan proposed considering Option A as the site plan instead of the current master plan, based on resident preferences and public input.

- Sudbury confirmed council can request Option A as the site plan, but the developer may reject the deal if not acceptable.
- Councilor Anderson asked if council can force the developer to build exactly as Option A. Sudbury clarified council can request it, but developer has discretion to accept or walk away.
- Oberweiser stated Option A was a high-level concept created quickly, while the current master plan reflects 12 months of detailed work and collaboration; implied Option A lacks the thoughtful consideration of the current plan.

Public Comment

- Gwen Hoppe supported Option A, noting it was what residents signed for and expressing disappointment at lack of public input in the final plan.

Council Comment

- Councilor Jones expressed strong support for the Midtown Commons project, citing its alignment with long-term planning, public process, and the urgent need for diverse housing and connectivity in Missoula.
- Councilor Savage supported the project, emphasizing that the land was purchased for development, not open space, and appreciated the neighborhood engagement and staff efforts throughout the process.

- Councilor Becerra supported the project, highlighting its benefits for diverse housing, transit, and public park access, and noted that infill development enables open space preservation while thanking staff for their expertise.
- Councilor Ponton supported the project, citing Missoula's urgent housing needs, the positive impact of market rate housing, and appreciation for staff and public input.
- Councilor Craske supported the sale for urban housing needs but emphasized the importance of environmental stewardship, ecological equity, and preserving mature trees, urging future developments to balance housing and habitat.
- Councilor Melson supported the project, highlighting that the city purchase enabled public benefits like a park and trail connections, acknowledged the loss of mature trees, and expressed hope that the new park's design would foster community appreciation.
- Councilor Jordan voted no, citing concerns about public investment, lack of enforceable affordability, unresolved ditch approval, and insufficient guarantees for taxpayers, while affirming support for housing and public-private partnerships if standards are met.
- Councilor McCoy supported the sale agreements but opposed the development agreement, stating the park size was insufficient and more time could have produced a better deal with greater park space for constituents.
- Councilor Anderson supported the project, emphasizing that city leadership enabled a thoughtful development with homeownership opportunities and a public park, which would not have been possible if the land remained in private hands.
- Councilor Campbell opposed the project, stating that government should not be involved in real estate or subsidizing private development, and expressing concerns about the use of taxpayer dollars and lack of guarantees for affordability.
- Councilor Sherrill supported the project, acknowledging neighborhood grief over change but emphasizing the benefits of missing middle housing, a public park, and balanced city needs, and praised staff and the owner occupancy amendment.
- Councilor Nugent strongly supported the project, highlighting its provision of below-median market rate housing, the importance of balancing affordability and velocity, and commending the mayor, staff, and council for their leadership and responsiveness.

Moved by: Alderperson Nugent

Approve the Real Property Purchase and Sale Agreement between the City and Miramonte Companies LLC for the Midtown Commons Property Purchase (formerly known as Southgate Crossing).

AYES: (10): Alderperson Anderson, Alderperson Becerra, Alderperson Craske, Alderperson Jones, Alderperson McCoy, Alderperson Melson, Alderperson Nugent, Alderperson Ponton, Alderperson Savage, and Alderperson Sherrill

NAYS: (2): Alderperson Campbell, and Alderperson Jordan

Vote result: Approved (10 to 2)

Moved by: Alderperson Nugent

Approve the Real Property Purchase and Sale Agreement between the City and Miramonte Companies, LLC for the Tollefson Property Purchase.

AYES: (10): Alderperson Anderson, Alderperson Becerra, Alderperson Craske, Alderperson Jones, Alderperson McCoy, Alderperson Melson, Alderperson Nugent, Alderperson Ponton, Alderperson Savage, and Alderperson Sherrill

NAYS: (2): Alderperson Campbell, and Alderperson Jordan

Vote result: Approved (10 to 2)

Moved by: Alderperson Nugent

Approve the Development Agreement for the Midtown Commons Project between Miramonte Companies LLC, the City, and the Missoula Redevelopment Agency.

AYES: (9): Alderperson Anderson, Alderperson Becerra, Alderperson Craske, Alderperson Jones, Alderperson Melson, Alderperson Nugent, Alderperson Ponton, Alderperson Savage, and Alderperson Sherrill

NAYS: (3): Alderperson Campbell, Alderperson Jordan, and Alderperson McCoy

Vote result: Approved (9 to 3)

Amendment:
Moved by: Alderperson Nugent

[Friendly Amendment] “4.12 For Sale Units Owner Occupancy Restrictions. In the buy-sell agreement for the first sale of each unit in the development, Developer shall include an addendum that requires the buyer to represent and warrant to Developer that (a) buyer is purchasing the unit as the buyer’s principal residence or second home, and not for investment purposes, and (b) buyer shall use the unit as buyer’s primary residence or second home, and shall not lease the home to any third party, for the first twenty-four (24) months following the closing date, subject to Developer’s approval of exceptions for extenuating circumstances that are beyond the buyer’s control. Buyer’s breach of such representations and warranties shall entitle the Developer to remedies including fines and/or injunctive relief. The final form of the addendum shall be subject to approval of the Mayor. In the event that the Developer is notified in writing by

the City or the homeowner's association that a specified buyer is suspected of breaching such representations and warranties (a "Buyer Breach") and Developer does not use reasonable efforts (x) to ascertain whether there is in fact a Buyer Breach, and (y) if Developer determines in good faith there has been a Buyer Breach, to enforce the owner occupancy restrictions contained in buy-sell agreement with the buyer, then the Developer will be in breach of this term. Because determining damages for this breach would be very difficult, and because this term is material to the City's TIF investment, the City shall recover liquidated damages in an amount of $10,000 per unit where a Buyer Breach is not enforced."

AYES: (10): Alderperson Anderson, Alderperson Becerra, Alderperson Craske, Alderperson Jones, Alderperson McCoy, Alderperson Melson, Alderperson Nugent, Alderperson Ponton, Alderperson Savage, and Alderperson Sherrill

NAYS: (2): Alderperson Campbell, and Alderperson Jordan

Vote result: Approved (10 to 2)

Amendment:
Moved by: Alderperson Jordan

Jordan Amendment 1: No trees located within or immediately adjacent to easement corridors subject to vacation under Section 3.3 of the Development Agreement shall be removed prior to the completion of the
easement vacation process, including the required public hearing and City Council approval.

AYES: (4): Alderperson Becerra, Alderperson Craske, Alderperson Jordan, and Alderperson McCoy

NAYS: (8): Alderperson Anderson, Alderperson Campbell, Alderperson Jones, Alderperson Melson, Alderperson Nugent, Alderperson Ponton, Alderperson Savage, and Alderperson Sherrill

Vote result: Failed (4 to 8)

Amendment:
Moved by: Alderperson Jordan

Jordan Amendment 2: Prior to disbursement of any TIF reimbursement funds and prior to commencement of any construction in the area affected by the proposed irrigation ditch relocation, as shown on Exhibit A, Developer shall obtain and provide to the City written approval from the ditch company authorizing relocation of the irrigation ditch consistent with the Phase 1 site design. Prior to disbursement of any TIF reimbursement funds and prior to commencement of any Phase 1 construction in the area affected by the proposed irrigation ditch relocation, as shown on Exhibit A, Developer shall obtain and provide to the City written approval from the ditch company authorizing relocation of the irrigation ditch ~~consistent with the Phase 1 site design~~.

AYES: (1): Alderperson Jordan

NAYS: (11): Alderperson Anderson, Alderperson Becerra, Alderperson Campbell, Alderperson Craske, Alderperson Jones, Alderperson McCoy, Alderperson Melson, Alderperson Nugent, Alderperson Ponton, Alderperson Savage, and Alderperson Sherrill

Vote result: Failed (1 to 11)

Moved by: Alderperson Jordan

Motion to return the item to committee.

AYES: (3): Alderperson Craske, Alderperson Jordan, and Alderperson McCoy

NAYS: (9): Alderperson Anderson, Alderperson Becerra, Alderperson Campbell, Alderperson Jones, Alderperson Melson, Alderperson Nugent, Alderperson Ponton, Alderperson Savage, and Alderperson Sherrill

Vote result: Failed (3 to 9)

Moved by: Alderperson Jordan

Jordan Amendment 3: [Amended to read] Propose consideration of "Option A" as was presented as an alternative master plan.

AYES: (3): Alderperson Craske, Alderperson Jordan, and Alderperson McCoy

NAYS: (9): Alderperson Anderson, Alderperson Becerra, Alderperson Campbell, Alderperson Jones, Alderperson Melson, Alderperson Nugent, Alderperson Ponton, Alderperson Savage, and Alderperson Sherrill

Vote result: Failed (3 to 9)

10.1.6 Public Safety, Health and Operations (PSHO) committee report

10.1.6.1 Minutes from the May 13, 2026 Meeting

10.1.7 Public Works and Mobility (PWM) committee report

10.1.7.1 Minutes from the May 13, 2026 Meeting

10.2 Informational Reports

10.2.1 Administratively Approved Report

11. PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA

- Gwen Hoppe made recommendations about how to do a project like this differently next time.
- Ethan Stevens spoke against pesticide use in public parks, and he encouraged the city to make a study of the deer population a priority.

12. COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE MAYOR

13. GENERAL COMMENTS OF CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS

14. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 11:09 p.m.